

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Juvenile

CV-24-0072-PR

IN RE DEPENDENCY AS TO A.M.

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: **10-Apr-2024** Archive on: 19-Aug-2034 (planned)
 Case Closed: **19-Aug-2024**

Dept/Composition

Side 1. LANCER B., Appellant
 (Litigant Group) LANCER B.

- Lancer B.

Attorneys for: Appellant

Florence M Bruemmer, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 19691)

Side 2. DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SAFETY, A.M., Appellee
 (Litigant Group) DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SAFETY

- Department of Child Safety

Attorneys for: Appellee

Dawn Rachelle Williams, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 20730)
 Kristin K Mayes, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 22584)

(Litigant Group) A.M.

- A. M.

Attorneys for: Guardian Ad Litem for Minor Child

Christopher M Mathers, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 24214)

CASE STATUS

Aug 19, 2024....Case Closed

Aug 19, 2024....Decision Rendered

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA	1 CA-JV 23-0114				
YAV	V1300JD201680021	Dependency	Anna C Young, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

2 CASE DECISIONS

08-Jul-2024 ORDER

* Appellee DCS filed a "Motion to Exceed Word Limit" on July 5, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Accordingly,

Filed: **08-Jul-2024** Mandate:

Decision Disposition

Denied

Tracie Lindeman

19-Aug-2024 ORDER

ORDERED: Petition for Review = DENIED.

Filed: **19-Aug-2024** Mandate:

Decision Disposition

Denied

10 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 10-Apr-2024 FILED: Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance; Memorandum Decision (Appellant Lancer B.)
- 12-Apr-2024 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
- 18-Apr-2024 FILED: DCS's Notice of No Response; Certificate of Service (Appellee DCS)

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Juvenile

CV-24-0072-PR

IN RE DEPENDENCY AS TO A.M.

10 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

4. 5-Jun-2024 The Clerk of the Supreme Court having been authorized by the Supreme Court to order any party to file a response to a petition for review at the direction of a Supreme Court staff attorney,
IT IS ORDERED that Appellee DCS shall file a response to the petition for review 30 days from the date of this order. No extensions of time to file the response shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)
 5. 5-Jul-2024 FILED: Department of Child Safety's Response to Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance (Appellee DCS)
 6. 5-Jul-2024 FILED: DCS's Motion to Exceed Word Limit; Certificate of Service (Appellee DCS)
 7. 8-Jul-2024 Appellee DCS filed a "Motion to Exceed Word Limit" on July 5, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellee DCS's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of ARCAP 6(b) by July 12, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)
 8. 8-Jul-2024 FILED: DCS's Amended Motion to Exceed Word Limit (Motion for a Procedural Order); Certificate of Service (Appellee DCS)
 9. 9-Jul-2024 Appellee DCS filed an "Amended Motion to Exceed Word Limit (Motion for a Procedural Order)" having been filed with a 5,015-word count response to petition for review, and the Clerk of the Court having been authorized by the Supreme Court to enter orders granting or denying requests for extended word count,

IT IS ORDERED granting Appellee's motion to exceed the word count limit on the response to petition for review by 1,515 words for a total of 5,015 words. The response to petition for review shall be filed July 5, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)
 10. 19-Aug-2024 ORDERED: Petition for Review = DENIED.
-